

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

**MARY B. WILSON,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:05cv44  
(Maxwell)**

**COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL  
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,**

**Defendant.**

**ORDER**

It will be recalled that on December 21, 2006, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Attorney Fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act. On December 28, 2006, the Defendant filed a Brief in Opposition to the Motion for Attorney Fees. On April 2, 2007, the Court referred the matter to United States Magistrate Judge John S. Kaull, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 4.01(d). On December 4, 2007, Magistrate Judge Kaull filed his Report and Recommendation, wherein he recommended denying the Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees. The parties were directed in Magistrate Judge Kaull's Report and Recommendation to file with the Clerk of Court any written objections within ten (10) days after being served with a copy. No objections have been filed. Accordingly, the Court will review the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation for clear error.<sup>1</sup>

Upon examination of the Report and Recommendation filed by the Magistrate

---

<sup>1</sup>The failure of a party to object to a Report and Recommendation waives the party's right to appeal from a judgment of this Court based thereon and, additionally, relieves the Court of any obligation to conduct a *de novo* review of the issues presented. See Wells v. Shriners Hospital, 109 F.3d 198, 199-200 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 148-153 (1985).

