

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CRIMINAL NO. 1:06CR26
(Judge Keeley)

LINDA SUTTON a/k/a
"Baby Girl",

Defendant.

**ORDER ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
RECOMMENDATION THAT DEFENDANT'S GUILTY PLEA
BE ACCEPTED AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING**

On May 23, 2006, defendant, Linda Sutton, appeared before United States Magistrate Judge John S. Kaull and moved this Court for permission to enter a plea of GUILTY to count two of the Indictment. The defendant stated that she understood that the magistrate judge is not a United States District Judge, and consented to pleading before the magistrate judge. This Court had referred the guilty plea to the magistrate judge for the purposes of administering the allocution pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11, making a finding as to whether the plea was knowingly and voluntarily entered, and recommending to this Court whether the plea should be accepted.

Based upon the defendant's statements during the plea hearing and the testimony of Sergeant Brian Purkey, the magistrate judge found that the defendant was competent to enter a plea, that the

**ORDER ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
RECOMMENDATION THAT DEFENDANT'S GUILTY PLEA
BE ACCEPTED AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING**

plea was freely and voluntarily given, that the defendant was aware of the nature of the charges against her and the consequences of the charges against her and the consequences of her plea, and that a factual basis existed for the tendered plea. On May 26, 2006, the magistrate judge entered an order finding a factual basis for the plea and recommended that this Court accept the plea of guilty to count two of the Indictment.

The magistrate judge also directed the parties to file any written objections to the report and recommendation within ten (10) days after service of the report and recommendation. The magistrate judge further directed that failure to file objections would result in a waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment of this Court based on the report and recommendation.

The parties did not file any objections. Accordingly, this Court finds that the magistrate judge's recommendation should be **AFFIRMED** and **ACCEPTS** the plea of guilty to count two of the Indictment.

The Court **ADJUGES** the defendant **GUILTY** of the crime charged in count two. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(3)(A) and U.S.S.G. § 6B1.1(c), acceptance of the proposed plea agreement and stipulated addendum to the plea agreement, is **DEFERRED** until the

**ORDER ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
RECOMMENDATION THAT DEFENDANT'S GUILTY PLEA
BE ACCEPTED AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING**

Court has received and reviewed the presentence report prepared in this matter.

Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 6A1 et seq., it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. The Probation Office undertake a presentence investigation of **LINDA SUTTON** and prepare a presentence report for the Court;

2. **The Government and the defendant are to provide their versions of the offense to the probation officer by June 26, 2006;**

3. The presentence report is to be disclosed to the defendant, defense counsel, and the United States on or before **July 27, 2006;** however, the Probation Officer is directed not to disclose the sentencing recommendations made pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(b)(6)(A);

4. Counsel shall file **WRITTEN OBJECTIONS** to the presentence report and may file a **SENTENCING MEMORANDUM** that evaluates sentencing factors the parties believe to be relevant under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (including the sentencing range under the advisory Guidelines) and explains any proposed sentence, on or before **August 10, 2006;**

**ORDER ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
RECOMMENDATION THAT DEFENDANT'S GUILTY PLEA
BE ACCEPTED AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING**

5. The Office of Probation shall submit to the Court the presentence report with addendum on or before **August 24, 2006**; and

6. Sentencing is set for **September 20, 2006** at **10:30 a.m.**

7. The Court remanded the defendant to the custody of the United States Marshals.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to counsel of record, the defendant and all appropriate agencies.

DATED: June 12, 2006



IRENE M. KEELEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE