

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  
ELKINS

GJERGJI GRAZHDANI,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:15-CV-8  
(BAILEY)

ALLEN HARTMAN DALE,  
WILKINS TRUCKING INC.,  
JOHN DOES 1–10, being Fictitious names,  
ABC COMPANY 1–10, being Fictitious names,

Defendants.

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge John S. Kaul. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Kaul for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Kaul filed his R&R on June 17, 2015 [Doc. 9], recommending that Plaintiff's Complaint [Doc. 1] be dismissed without prejudice for failure to effectuate service.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is timely made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver

of *de novo* review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); ***Snyder v. Ridenour***, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); ***United States v. Schronce***, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Kaul's R&R were due within fourteen (14) days of receipt, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The docket reflects service was accepted on June 22, 2015 [Doc. 10]. No objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the **Report and Recommendation [Doc. 9]** should be, and is, hereby **ORDERED ADOPTED** for the reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, this Court **ORDERS** that the plaintiff's Complaint **[Doc. 1]** be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. This Court further **DIRECTS** the Clerk to **STRIKE** this case from the active docket of this Court. The Clerk shall enter judgment in favor of the defendants.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and to mail a copy to the *pro se* plaintiff.

**DATED:** July 22, 2015.

  
JOHN PRESTON BAILEY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE